

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: Docket No. CP15-558, Proposed PennEast Pipeline

Dear Secretary Bose:

I am a resident of Lambertville, NJ. I am providing comments on the PennEast Pipeline Project, Docket #CP15-558, specifically in response to recent filings on the docket.

I am opposed to this pipeline because there is not a documented need for the pipeline. In my view it is being built to enable delivery of new gas fields to existing markets. Energy prices are low and the benefits of cheaper natural gas for the next few years do not outweigh the huge cost in environmental disruption and reduction in land and home values to areas in the direct path of the pipeline. I know that 60% of the new capacity has been spoken for by "customers", but those customers are the companies which own the pipeline. The New Jersey Rate Counsel has raised serious doubts about whether the proposed PennEast Pipeline is needed, and said the terms of the project would be unfair to the ratepayers of New Jersey, who will ultimately foot the bill. The Rate Counsel further said that the 14% rate of return is highly improbable.

Further, numerous government agencies have raised strong concerns about the pipeline. Federal agencies such as EPA, DOI, FWS, and NPS have raised important concerns about the negative environmental impacts of the proposed pipeline. PennEast's responses to DEIS comments, dated October 12 and October 20, do not adequately address these concerns, nor do they correct or complete missing maps and data. The EIS should not move forward until all necessary information has been included.

In my opinion, FERC should not be wasting taxpayer dollars on a DEIS for a pipeline that is not needed and designed to benefit the Corporations that will make millions at the expense of ratepayers, homeowners, and our environment.

Sincerely,